

February 1, 1996 LB 964

Bernard-Stevens said that we didn't...it's kind of quickly put here, so I'm glad we have this extensive debate on this issue, because we should debate it, that's why it's not open up to candidates. It really doesn't go as far as other states did. I would not support that at this time, I absolutely would not. I could have lived with or without this amendment. It isn't...in my community, smaller communities, the 5,000 would have let us use it if we so desired. Remember that word, if we so desired, it's not mandatory. You don't have to do it, if you don't want to do it they won't. And I think I trust, or I don't necessarily think I trust, I do trust my elected officials. If we don't, we throw the scoundrels out of office. So I guess that's the last thing I'll say on this for now. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Stuhr.

SENATOR STUHR: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I, too, will oppose...I will not be supporting the IPP motion. I did want to just point out that I have a sheet of information that back in 1996 Nebraska did allow mail-in votes for a sanitary and industrial development districts. So I hope that Senator Bernard-Stevens is listening to that. Again, I'd like to...I support this issue because we are not talking about partisan issues, we are not talking about candidate issues. And I have some information from Lancaster County that was pointed out in the committee hearing on the bill. Last year they held four special elections. And on July 25th, School District Number 13 held a special election that actually cost \$32.19 per voter, whereas if they would have had the opportunity to have mail-in votes, that cost could have been cut to a total of \$56. And instead they actually did spend over \$1,000. So, yes, we are talking about money, to some extent. But I think the most important thing is it will give people more of an opportunity to turn out, it will increase voter turnout, it will also help to comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act because they will have an opportunity to use this method of voting. So I am in support of this bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Schimek, on the IPP motion.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I rise in opposition to the IPP motion, and I do so because I really do believe that Senator Cudaback has taken a