

February 1, 1996 LB 964

happening immediately. In the larger areas, it would be much more difficult and we decided to keep it exactly as it was and vote it out of committee just the way it was. If the Schimek amendment is on here, you will lose a lot of support. I think this is not fair to Jim Cudaback who...who really wanted to go ahead and try this for the smaller areas, to bring about maybe some, some efficiencies, some less cost during the election processes out there in the smaller towns. You wouldn't actually have to, you know, have all the...the voters booths and all this other stuff that you have to have that are very expensive. And we agreed to try it this way. Senator Cudaback agreed to keep it this way, he wasn't going to change it at all. It was all talked about in the committee and it kind of, reluctantly on my part maybe, voted this out of committee hoping that it would stay that way. I realize that a lot of bills never stay the same way that they come out of committee and that amendments are necessary, but I hope people will see the wisdom in keeping this bill exactly as it was written and bring it through and think of it more as a pilot program, as an opportunity to save maybe some property tax dollars in the...in the rural areas in Nebraska, and not look at this as some grand opportunity to implement full-blown mail-in voter voting in the state of Nebraska and some kind of pioneering effort on the part of everybody to show how this, Nebraska can do this. I think if that's...if that's your take on this, you should introduce your own bill to do something like that. And you should look at how this is done in other states, do a lot more careful research on it before you bring it to the floor here because I think the way that Cudaback, Senator Cudaback looked at this, this piece of legislation, it was to help his rural areas with the expenses. And I think that's the way we viewed it in the committee. And if people start amending this and broadening it and making it into some kind of experiment here for the vote...voters in the state of Nebraska, it's very irresponsible of me to vote for it because we don't have the information, I think, that we need to make those kinds of decisions. There is still a lot of problems, as Senator Bernard-Stevens brought out, and I'm sure he would have brought them out in committee, but we still talked about advancing it even though we had reservations. But these are valid, valid reservations here. These are valid issues that he's brought up on that. And I think if you blow it out to areas like Omaha, you may have much more of a problem and a much tougher time containing or even recognizing it for a while. Both sides of the issue must be able to see the potential for