

February 1, 1996 LB 964

SENATOR CUDABACK: Well, as I said before, I guess anything can happen when you have people ruling, making decisions, and so on and so forth, I guess if they wanted to rig it or whatever, I don't see how this could rig it in any particular way. You would have the governing board say whether you wanted mail-in ballots or whether you wanted to choose to go to the polling place. They would not have any idea what group might go, what group might not go. I mean that that kind of a prognosticator probably that they'd be burning more money than they are now, probably. I mean I just don't see how they would know. That might be a scenario worth looking at, but I just...I don't know how they would now what...what might be better.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well...

SENATOR CUDABACK: The turnout is better. Montana had an incredible 93 percent turnout. As we know, the more you have voting, the more consensus you get, is that not true?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I would think that the more that are voting the better generally...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Sure.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and the broader, broader point of view that you, that you get.

SENATOR CUDABACK: And that's what this does.

SENATOR BEUTLER: But there are certain issues that have great appeal to maybe certain sections of a city or certain elements of the population. And depending on the governing board's point of view, they may want to favor the sewer, the new sewer line, whereas if they got a mail...a mail type of election on that, they may have a broader city interest and people who are less concerned about a sewer in a particular neighborhood. And the inclination might be to vote against it more.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Again, we're...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Anyway...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Again, we're not reinventing the wheel. Sixteen states do it and so they have not had this happen. I'll