

January 31, 1996 LR 43

SENATOR CUDABACK: But the issue to you isn't the matter of the people voting, it's a matter of you're against the gambling.

SENATOR ROBINSON: That's right.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay, yeah.

SENATOR ROBINSON: That's right.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I guess that's my...I guess that's my question.

SENATOR ROBINSON: A hundred percent, maybe 110, but you're not talking about numbers and people. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. I have had good people call me. I have had the mayor of Nebraska's largest city call me and he made sense. And it's rightfully so. He should question what's going to happen in the future. He has problems with it. He worries about his community and city and I respect him for that. But I just think that right now the people in Nebraska on this issue, as some issues, it does not take a rocket scientist to figure out whether you are for gambling or against gambling. Some issues require study. They require in-depth thinking. They require statistics. They require this, they require that, and they take a knowledgeable person on the issues which we were sent down here for to do. Gambling is not one of those. You are either for it or you are against it. Right now I think the people in Nebraska, we should let them say what they want to do. And with that, I will...I will give the rest of my time to Senator Schellpeper, if he wishes to use it.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Robinson, on the brac...I'm sorry. I beg your pardon. You have about three minutes.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: Oh, thank you. Thank you, Senator Cudaback. I really support the amendment for Senator Lynch. We're completely off of what we are really talking about here. If a person is opposed to gambling and if you are willing to make that commitment, more power to you, but you are making a commitment for 32,000 people in your district plus, and that's what you have to consider. Whether or not you allow this to go on the ballot or whether or not you are going to say, no, my