

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right.

SENATOR VRTISKA: They also said that if...told him, and this seemed strange to me for an operator of a casino to say if you win money here we hope you take it and go home, because if you stay here you'll lose it. And there are...sounds kind of strange, but their purpose in saying that was if you go home as a winner you'll induce some of your friends to go there to win. But if you go home as a loser, obviously nobody is going to be very thrilled about going to Las Vegas.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: But, Senator,...

SENATOR VRTISKA: That was when Las Vegas was about the only gambling there was in this country.

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: That's right. Senator, but if we don't do anything in Nebraska, are we going to say that nobody in Nebraska can gamble (inaudible)?

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, my only problem with what you're proposing here, in the constitution, is it doesn't...and I guess that would express this well as I have, is it doesn't really spell out in very much detail how many casinos there are going to be, where they're going to be, and who's going to benefit from the extended gambling. And my concern is...

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: I think everybody would benefit, because if we could put \$200 million, or whatever the figure is, to property tax relief, everybody benefits, Senator.

SENATOR VRTISKA: You think \$200 million would go to property tax relief?

SENATOR SCHELLPEPER: At least that much.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Well, I would...Senator, I don't have much time left. You got your light on. I'm not...of course, I don't know and I guess none of us know. My only concession or consideration is that it's kind of like a piece of pie, the more times you cut it the smaller the piece (inaudible).