

January 25, 1996 LB 642, 948, 949

engrossment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: You've heard the motion. All of those in favor of advancing LB 948 signify by saying aye. Opposed. It is advanced. LB 949.

CLERK: (LB) 949, Senator, no amendments pending.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: I move to advance LB 949 to E & R for engrossment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: You've heard the motion. All of those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed. LB 949 is advanced. We will be passing over LB 750. Mr. Clerk, prior to turning to LB 642 do you have any items for the record?

CLERK: Not at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Mr. Clerk, LB 642.

CLERK: (LB) 642, Mr. President, it was discussed yesterday, I believe, by the Legislature. Enrollment and Review amendments were adopted. When the Legislature left the bill, there was pending an amendment from Senator Avery, AM2889. I do have...I have an amendment to 2889, Mr. President. Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to amendment Senator Avery's amendment. Senator, I have AM2902 in front of me. (See page 595 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Avery is going to be filing an amendment which I will, at some point, ask for it to be substituted. Let me give you an idea of what my amendment is doing and what Senator Avery and Senator Landis and I and others I think worked out an agreement on. Yesterday, and by the way, I'll be supporting this bill regardless of how, how this amendment is agreed to or, whether it's agreed to...amendment is agreed to or not because I think it's now in the process of being a good bill. The discussion yesterday centered on the fact that when you have two pilot projects of a major change in how we're doing things on evaluation, should