

January 24, 1996 LB 642

you want us to proceed?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Just a machine vote.

SPEAKER WITHEM: We have taken a machine vote, that option is exhausted.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Probably then a roll call vote.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Roll call...all those, we will take a roll call vote on the adoption of the Schimek amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Clerk, call the roll.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken.) 22 ayes, 17 nays on the amendment, Mr. President. (See pages 585-86 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is not adopted. We now, as I understand there is another amendment to the amendment.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Hillman would move to amend Senator Avery's amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The call is raised. Senator Hillman.

SENATOR HILLMAN: Yes, Mr. Speaker, members. Senator Crosby put out a handout that looks at the comparison of reviews that if you have it on your desk I would like to have you look at it and I thank her from bringing this out because it clarifies the roles of the reviews that we was talking about earlier. If you look at those, the role of DSS under LB 642 there would be one thing that changed there and it is the bottom one, the review of their own plan for internal use. It is the only thing that would change. DSS would continue to do all the things, write the plans, the care and custody of state wards, the placement of the child, the focus on family reunification, submit the plans for court approvals, purchase the services, arrange for the child and family, carry out the court order and report progress to courts. DSS will continue to do that. None of that will change. My concern is that many people on this floor think that those parts of DSS's responsibility are going to change, that in some way Foster Care Review Board will assume those responsibilities. They will not. The role of the court, as you see it written there, will remain the same, the role of the