

January 24, 1996 LB 642

have Dr. Dave Filipi, Dave, David, Doctor. On the Schimek substitute amendment, Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Well, let me just take one minute to tell you that I would be...we have done this before, the committee has worked with the Executive Board, we have done reviews of developmental disabilities system and some others. I think the review process, we brought in Darrel Ray, the Department of Public Institutions did, that cost about \$10,000. I don't think you can...that was a bargain. I think you are going to have to talk \$10,000 to \$20,000, but you can get a good review that will give us information about whether or not these pilots are working, whether or not that is the alternative that we want to look at. The other study that we did was not independently done and that interim study was not a resolution of the issue. So, I would be more than happy to work with the different parties and with the Exec Board to see that we do a good job of seeing how this works and making a decision based on experience. So I support the Schimek amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I'll be opposing the Schimek amendment for philosophic reasons. I don't expect a lot of people to oppose it. I don't like the fact that we decide now that this is an important issue, that we need to go to an outside consultant and spend \$10,000 maybe less, maybe more dollars when it is something that we, the Legislature, can do. The committee chaired by Senator Warner, I believe, co-chaired by Senator Hillman, on the performance review can pick it up if they so desire. Anyone can put in a resolution for a study resolution to the Health and Human Services Committee. And even though some may say that Senator Wesely might have had his mind decided on the issue, the committee itself is a fair committee and so is Senator Wesely when it comes to looking at all of the ramifications of whether it worked or not. We, the Legislature, have the power to look at something. If you really want to have a review of the whole situation the answer is quite simple. Strike the part of the bill that says that it will automatically take place in two years. If you strike that section, then you will have two pilot projects and the onus would be on the other side to come forward with why we should expand it statewide because it has done X, X, Y and Z. If you really want a performance audit on it,