

January 24, 1996 LB 642

and meeting, coming...meeting their concerns. We think we get it, we put it together, and then all of a sudden there's another...a concern they have up.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, okay, I wcn't pursue that for now, may come back to it later and maybe your staff can prepare...

SENATOR AVERY: The big difference on that...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Um-hum.

SENATOR AVERY: ...piece of legislation, there was filed an amendment by Senator Hall, Senator Warner, I believe Senator Wehrbein was on that, and Senator Withem to strike out the review process. The one that was filed in the Journal has a review process. That would be Sections 6 and 7. They had show...brought to me concerns about putting in the statute the program and evaluation as putting it in statutes in a requirement. I said I don't have any problem with that. They have a request already to do a review. We have other mechanisms through legislative resolutions, interim studies to do that, and at any time the committee of jurisdiction, which would be the Health, would have the opportunity to do a review in that committee. I am committed enough that with this I will introduce a resolution to make sure that that is...and I believe Senator Landis would back that up as well, to make sure...

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Okay, so...

SENATOR AVERY: ...that we do have a review process that will look at evaluating what has happened in the, after the first year and the pilot project that we have agreed to.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: So the essential difference between the last amendment printed in the Journal and the one that was handed out on the floor this morning is that that review process has been taken out.

SENATOR AVERY: Right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And, and why do people object to it being in the bill? I mean I...

SENATOR AVERY: I...basically, I'll have to refer to Senator