

January 23, 1996 LB 592

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Avery, I would like to ask you a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Avery.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: To save time for myself, the same question. When a person comes into compliance, do you think the same language that says he or she "shall" comply with this order, he or she "shall" pay a fee to be reinstated. That if a person comes into compliance...now here is the question. If a person comes into compliance, do you think his or her license mandatorily will be reinstated or may be reinstated?

SENATOR AVERY: I think there is a...depending upon what the terms of the compliance is. There could be a situation where they start payment, I think there should be a provision in there where you could maybe hold something over the individual's head if they are working towards compliance.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Avery, you are floundering and fishing, mixed metaphor, but here is what the language says. That when a license holder comes into compliance with the support order and gets a notice from the county attorney that he or she is in compliance and delivers that to the Department of Motor Vehicles, should it be mandatory that they reinstate that person's license, or should it not. That is what I am asking you. The person is in compliance. Senator Avery, would you please answer the question or tell me you don't want to.

SENATOR AVERY: Yes, I think they should.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well it doesn't say...you voted for this amendment, didn't you.

SENATOR AVERY: (away from microphone) Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Didn't you?

SENATOR AVERY: (away from microphone) Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Avery...

SENATOR AVERY: Yes.