

Senator Kristensen has been doing some thinking here. Let me identify so you can understand where my yeses lie, where I can vote yes on something. I thought that idea of the contempt powers comment was very valuable, and learning how valuable it was to move it to an administrative basis. On the other hand, in those instances income tax intercept and the wage generation, you're talking about following the money. Where I don't have any problem with using administrative background, that seems very reasonable to me. I take...I suggest that the taking of the drivers' license away, however, is more like the jail sentence that you do than it is like the tax intercept, because the tax intercept is about a pile of money that's in the hands of the government. The drivers' license or the professional license is a form of freedom, it's a form of mobility. And it's why...to me it's more analogous to the jail time that Senator Matzke was pointing out we do not allow as debtors prisons, except for the contempt citations. So of the two, if we're going to take the licenses away, I think it is not like the tax intercept, it's like something else. Let me tell you my fear, Senator Beutler. And if you can get around this fear, you've got a chance of getting my vote. I see nothing in this bill that doesn't stop...that doesn't say to DSS that this is unacceptable. Do a computer run of everybody in the state who's three months behind. Send a letter out telling every one of them that they are in danger of losing their licenses. Doing a mass administrative revocation beginning of any of those people, and as long as the case proceeds without objection, that that mass handling of everybody who's behind by three months will be the method by which they carry out the bill. I found your main story instructive. The idea of this as a last resort, where everything else had failed, where you targeted it to certain people who in fact hadn't had otherwise, that makes sense to me. That was persuasive. What I fear is that this isn't the last resort, but it could potentially be the first resort. It's one where we could just get a computer to spit out names and addresses off of court records and do that kind of approach. And when I look in 592 I don't see anything that tells me that's not the way the business is going to be run. What I see is responses that I, as somebody who gets one of those form letters might be able to make for appeal rights, chance to talk to the department, chance to go to court. The shoe gets put on the other foot and now I have to defend myself. That isn't sensitive enough or responsive enough in the use of this tool. This needs to be a rifle, not a shotgun. And when I look at 592