

judge won't look at it in the end. There are three different, at least three different places along the administrative proceeding process where the judge can review, if a person requests a judicial review, can have the same kind of review that he would have had if he went...if the proceeding was directly in the court. Historically what has happened is that the contempt powers of the court don't work for enforcing child support. That's why I quoted for you this information, factual, historical information. Nineteen seventy-nine \$2.5 million of child support collected a year, and we had been relying, up until that point in time, on contempt powers of the court. The complaint has always been it's too hard to get into the court. There are repeated and repeated proceedings and the judges don't want to do it. The judges don't think the courts should be bogged down in these types of enforcement proceedings. So consequently, historically what we did was start moving towards administrative proceedings. And we...automatic wage withholding, for example, a judge doesn't decide upon that, we do that. If a person thinks it's done unfairly he goes to ask a judge, review it. That's the administrative process that we use with wage withholding. Income tax intercept, we all voted for that. Administrative proceeding, we just do it, and if it's done...if there's...if it's contested, you can take it to a judge. That's the way all of the effective child support collection techniques of the past decade have been formulated. That's the way they work. Have you...have you heard a lot of complaint about automatic wage withholding? Have you heard a lot of complaint about the income tax intercept, administrative proceedings, both? This follows along the same path of administrative proceedings. The two...in 1979, the \$2.5 million of child support that was collected from contempt proceedings, as we started to change over to more and more administrative proceedings, in 1983 it was up from 2.5 million to 20.5 million in collections, 4 years later 37.5 million as we refined techniques, 1991, 57 million. And you'll see another incremental jump in the collections if you allow them to use the tools of license revocation. I mean that still will be a miserable collection record, but the history in Maine...Maine is collecting, Maine, same size state as we are, collecting \$1 million a month more because of this procedure. How do they know? Because the only cases in which they've tried to use this type of procedure are cases in which all other methods have failed. So they have took those cases where all the other methods have failed, used these procedures, and they're