

that prospect. And, unlike Senator Chambers, I think the person who doesn't pay their child support creates a social burden for everybody else, and if they're creating that social burden I think we get to take away their drivers' license to stop them from creating that social burden for us, by putting their kids basically on welfare, if they won't pay their child support. So I'm prepared to take their drivers' license away. Do I want a judge to do that, or do I want DSS and the bureaucracy to do that? Here I start getting really fuzzy because I'm not exactly sure what's the best way to go. I'm going to, this is going to be a strange thing. I want to get to a vote on the Witek amendment because of the two that are before me I think that's the better approach. I would vote for the Beutler amendment on a straight up amendment to 592, because I think it's better than what's in 592. But I don't think it's necessarily better than the Witek amendment. I'll tell you what I like about the Witek amendment. It says a judge decides. That means you get around the lousy record collection that we have out there around the state. We will have bureaucrats basing themselves basically on a very poor public record system, if you turn it to the bureaucracy. Secondly, the judge has the entire file of the case. What I'm looking for is somebody to say, ah, I concede that this person has the means to pay, and they're obstinately not doing it. That's the person that deserves to have their drivers' license taken away, not the person who doesn't have the means, who is behind, and for whom it doesn't make a difference what you do, they are already going to jail on the weekends because they don't have the means. There, one more punishment isn't going to provide any social benefit whatsoever, except to create new positions, more entanglements. In other words, somebody who has the discretion to choose the cases where a drivers' license revocation will be a serious motivating factor. Of the two I've got to think it's a judge, not a bureaucrat. So on that score I come down with Senator Witek. Another thing that's gone out of this is the creation of the visitation referees. And at the moment I think that's okay. It's not because visitation isn't a real and sensible issue, but because I'm not sure that it's in 592 for the reason of trying to do balance, wait a second, let's give something to the noncustodial parents, except the noncustodial parents don't want this bill anyway. You haven't gotten their agreement by that visitation referee. That hasn't brought the noncustodial parents around. They don't agree to this bill. It's a sop, but it's an ineffective sop. And if we really are going to get into the