

some referee for your bureaucratic nonsense, when in my amendment a judge, one judge who's dealing with this family, that knows the intricacies of that problem, can make that decision on whether to revoke the license or not. If it's the license revocation that you want, and the threat of the license revocation that you want, then you need to vote for my amendment and not vote for Senator Beutler's amendment. Deciding to pull some professional licenses out of the bill still doesn't sweeten this bill. It just addresses the issue of professional licenses. And it doesn't even address that issue completely because of the CDL, because of the driver who's out there, who that is their business and their livelihood. If someone who's livelihood, whose business depends on their drivers license doesn't have a drivers license, they don't work. Otherwise if they get caught without a license they're in even more charges there, and criminal charges. So to me to say that this is any kind of a compromise or a solution for what we're trying to get at, is really...is not understanding what the issues are with...with my objections to 592. You have people who don't pay child support. You have people who pay late, and you have people who can't pay because they've had some adjustment in whether they can afford to pay it or not. You need to have a flexible system in order to address all of those different situations. If you're going to put into a bureaucracy the only people that this is going to make happy is the people who like to hire more people and spend more money. It's not going to address the problem as efficiently and effectively as my amendment. And Senator Beutler's amendment completely strikes my amendment. Read the first line of his amendment. Strike the original sections and all amendments thereto and insert the following new section. He is striking the original sections and any amendments to my amendment. That means my amendment disappears completely, if you address...if you vote for Senator Beutler's amendment. And then you're right back to 592 with one exception, and that is the professional license. And I'm...you know, I've been talking to some of the teachers too, you know. We don't have enough knowledge about this bill even on the floor yet to know whether it involves teaching licenses. I was asking at the school board luncheon yesterday, does this involve school board lunches (sic)? I don't know, everybody told me. And then this morning Senator Crosby had some questions on who exactly are we talking about here. I don't know, the carriers of the bill say. You know, it's...it's...we've got to stop on this floor passing through legislation that, for political reasons,