

January 23, 1996 LB 592

that time these programs, these administrative enforcement programs were going into effect, withholding programs were going into effect. Four years later, in '91, we had income tax withholding by that point in time, or close to that point in time it was up to \$57 million in collections. And so what I'm trying to point out to you is that the administrative proceedings route has been a very effective route. And even though there are a...there's a lot of money that's not collected, as compared to the contempt proceedings route, historically this has been shown to be much, much more effective. And so we're hoping that you're willing to stay with the administrative proceeding route, even while at the same time essentially adopting...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...Senator Witek's suggestion that we strip out, and the suggestion of many others of you that we strip out professional licenses from the act. So I hope that explains what we're doing. And if you have questions I would certainly invite them.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Dierks, to speak to the Beutler amendment to the Witek amendment to LB 592.

SENATOR DIERKS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. Senator Beutler.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, sir.

SENATOR DIERKS: I need a little further explanation I think. Does your amendment totally replace Senator Witek's amendment?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, it does.

SENATOR DIERKS: And it does remove the part about license revocation for professionals, as well as drivers licenses?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, sir, it removes everything relating to professional license revocation. It retains everything related to drivers license revocation.