

So you're talking about a rise, we're not hitting 100 yet, but it is a rise in the collection of child support. I believe if you want to try to raise that collection of child support you don't need to first thing throw in a completely bureaucratic and administrative approach, hire a bunch of new people and have people who are within the system jump through a bunch more hoops. I believe what you need to do is allow the judge who previously in contempt cases had only had two options, one is to fine the people, and most of these families, we're talking about families that are having money problems anyway, so that was really not an option, or throw somebody in jail. And that's not an option because if they're in jail they can't work, they can't support their families. So judges really didn't view that as an option. But now those judges can look at both sides of the problem, both the collection of child support and the problem with interference and visitation, decide what needs to be done and have the option, if they are in contempt of those orders, to pull either of the individuals drivers' license. That's what my amendment would do. I would hope that you would think that this is an incremental approach, this is addressing a problem. If you would go ahead and try something like this, even for a year or two in the state of Nebraska, and then see statistically if our support has risen from the 20 percent that we're at now to 35, 40 percent. I think you could turn around and say to yourself we've accomplished, with a lot less bureaucracy, a lot less expense, and a lot less interference into these families lives than other states, we've accomplished the same increase in our child support enforcement. So I see no reason not to adopt this amendment and say that we acknowledge the problem, we are addressing the problem with much less bureaucratic, expensive approach than some states have used. But we're willing to try it for at least a year or two, to see if the percentage of our enforcement of our child support collection increases to a point where we're comfortable with that, where we don't have to impose this bureaucratic, expensive approach. And it also addresses the issue of professional licenses, to a degree. I understand that can't be addressed completely, and it still makes me very uncomfortable. But it puts that threat there that everybody is talking about that has increased child support in other states. That threat is still there, although now it's in the judges hands, which to me is a much more flexible approach than the bureaucratic approach that's proposed in 592. You must have somebody who is intimately knowledgeable about the specifics of that families problems that we are trying to deal with, a