

and I have no objection to the Chambers amendment, the point I was trying to make is, why do we want the information? And let me point something out. You all, you all have heard that old George Carlin (phonetic) line that, when he's doing the sports news he says I have a partial score, Stanford 38, and he moves on. That's kind of what we got here. We've got a partial score. It's telling us how many licenses have been revoked. But what does that tell us? What does that tell us? If that's seven licenses have been revoked, that could be good, that could be bad. What if it's seven out of seven cases that they've, that they've tried to revoke them on? What if it's seven out of ten thousand cases they've tried to do it on? The raw data, the raw numbers...or the raw amount of data is insufficient to do us any good, so why do we have it? It's simply telling us how many licenses were disclosed...or were suspended, but not disclosing out of how many cases were processed. And so it doesn't tell us is this ineffective or effective or not because there's simply a lack of information. What the Chambers amendment will do is to take a...actually not attack that point at all, we still won't have the number, the total number of cases in which it was attempted or threatened. So it really gives us no indication other than tell us how many constituents are likely going to vote against those of us who voted for this bill. But that, what we need to have is some information that's going to help us if we intend to have information at all. And what the Chambers amendment would do is at least tell us, since we're not willing to put people's lives back in order, if they have been, if their license has been erroneously suspended or revoked, at least tell us how often that's occurring. And I don't see the problem with having that much information. If we are, if we are interested in knowing how many licenses have been revoked, how many of those were done erroneously I don't think is extraneous information, I don't think it's information that does us no good. At least it tells us, it gives us some ratio of how many, how many times it's gotten right. So with that I will consent to the Chambers amendment, but I would also concur with him that this is one section, among others, that I think does need a lot of work. But this particular amendment, I will vote for the Chambers amendment and I don't think it...and I really don't think it harms the thrust of the bill, even though I don't support the bill I really, I don't think that it does harm to the thrust of the bill at all.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Senator Beutler,