

operators' licenses which were suspended as a result of the License Revocation Act for the prior year." Then my sentence would say, "Each respective report shall include the number and type of license", or it should be licenses', and the number and type, oh, we can say license, "the number and type of license erroneously suspended or revoked." What this would do is move us toward the kind of information I would like to have. And there are other types of information too that we would need. If it should turn out that a sizeable number of licenses are erroneously being revoked or suspended, then it may persuade us that something is needed in terms of giving citizens redress. If, on the other hand, there is no instance of an erroneous suspension or revocation, then all talk of giving redress becomes far less persuasive. If I were to be shown, should this bill be enacted into law, and I hope it will not be and I'll do everything I can to prevent that from happening, but should it be enacted into law, I think we're entitled to know how many errors occur with reference to this process. And if the number is small, a great amount of time and person power will not be consumed in fulfilling the requirements of this amendment. We will simply have a point in that report which says, number of licenses erroneously suspended by the Department of Motor Vehicles, zero; number of licenses erroneously revoked by the SS Department, zero. On the other hand, if we have a number, how ever small, we should inquire to find out how those erroneous revocations and suspensions occurred. With the language that would exist in Section 25, if you reject Senator Lindsay's amendment, all we would have before us in a report is the raw number of licenses revoked or suspended. As Senator Lindsay argued in support of his motion, this language that exists in Section 25 does not really give us the type of information that legislators need for the purpose of adjusting, modifying, or abandoning a program that has been created by statute. If you have any questions about my amendment, I am prepared to answer them. It's difficult to discuss any amendment on this bill without talking about the underlying wickedness in the bill. This is a wicked bill. It moves away from that which is good and desirable in society. One of the worst things that can be done to an individual is to deprive him or her of freedom. That is what can be done and is done under the existing law when a person is locked up for failure or refusal to pay child support. I had stated earlier and I want to repeat it again, that people have been locked up not for refusing to pay child support, but for being unable or incapable