

would have been important, and that is information on what's, what's this program costing us, I got, I got looking at it and thinking, what difference does it make if we get a report back on the number of licenses that been revoked or not? Given that, let's not go to the expense of having somebody, probably hire somebody to just simply keep track of how many licenses we're revoking. It's not, and realistically they've been telling us it's not going to be very many licenses. Well, if that's the case, then I think we're including reporting requirements that simply we're not interested in. We've been saying that's not the thrust of this bill, we don't care how many licenses are revoked, that's not the thrust of this bill. We've already said, the Legislature said we don't care how much it costs, so I, it just seems to me this is surplussage, and because of that, I think that kind of extraneous information ought to be extracted from the bill, and I would urge that you vote in favor of the amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Lindsay. Before we go ahead with the debate I'd like to introduce a young man who's here from Pius X High School, here in Lincoln, he's my guest today, Scott Anderson, he's under the south balcony. Would you please stand, Scott, and be welcomed by your Legislature. Thank you. Thank you for being here. Senator Chambers, on the Lindsay amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I have mixed feelings about Senator Lindsay's amendment. He is correct in the rationale he gave for offering the amendment. But at some point it might be good to have information, if this bill passes, on how many licenses have been revoked. But he does make a point when he discusses the other types of information that would have been more significant and crucial, which the body felt ought not be reported. And I don't understand why they wouldn't want that information, unless it showed that this whole approach is not, and I hate cliches like this, cost effective. This is a political bill. This is a feel good bill and it is heaping water on top of water, so to speak. And by that I mean, the whole system that relates to obtaining child support is so flawed and flows from so many invalid premises that instead of tacking on afterthoughts before making add-ons, there needs to be a review of what is being done, what it is that is really being sought. And if it's only to have that money paid in, we could streamline the whole process and