

think that that individual, whose business depends on that license, should have some other recourse, should have some help from the state. And you know I'm not one to spend money. But I definitely think that if the state makes a mistake and destroys somebody's income, that if it was me on the other end of that I would want somewhere to go, some way to restore that, even if it's not possible I would still like to have some help maybe putting ads in the paper or whatever I thought I could do to try to restore my reputation that was destroyed by this mistake. And giving me back my license is not going to give me back the monies that I lost during the time that you took my license, destroyed my reputation. And in the case of a drivers' license, if that is their professional license, took their only way that they...you can't drive if you're a driver, you can't drive without it, so you took away their means of support. The family has suffered greatly, the individual has suffered greatly, and we're going...

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR WITEK: ...to say, too bad.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Witek. Senator Landis, on the Witek amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the Legislature. I actually rise to ask, pose a question for Senator Beutler and acknowledge that it's something I'd like to have answered at some point. It's not a cross examination, Chris, but if you would, I'm going to make an argument that I'd like to have some response to because we're not going to get to talk about 592 unless we take the chance now. My impression is that there are people that don't pay child support because they are committed to not paying child support, they are just not going to do it. Either they don't feel the paternal tie closely enough, or they are so bent on some kind of domestic revenge they will not do it. I think there's another group of people who can pay, but resist paying, it's an irritant. They feel perhaps wronged that they don't get visitation rights as well as they should. They think that the money goes to the former spouse rather than to the child and they resist. But they can pay, but they linger, they're malingerers. I do see a third group that's increasing in number which are people who because of the guidelines and the like, have been given an obligation