

subject to jailing under contempt powers of the court right now and always have been, even when little or no child support was collected or enforced.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, I would like a categorical answer, if you can give me one, would the person still be subject to jailing, or does the suspension/revocation of these licenses exempt that person from being jailed?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, that person would be subject to the same penalties that such persons have always been subject to from the 1970's and 80's and 90's, and that is if they are in violation and contempt of court it is possible to subject them to some jail time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a person doesn't have a drivers license, this particular sanction that we are talking about in 592 would not be available to impose on that person, is that true?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Run that by me once more.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If a person does not have a drivers license, then the suspension or the revocation of a drivers license for failure to pay child support is not available as a sanction against that person, is that true?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Obviously.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the same question with reference to a professional license would have the same answer. Would you agree?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right. Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, these are two sanctions which would be available for certain categories of people but not available for others, although they are similarly situated. Is that true?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, Senator, if you don't make...if you are not being paid a wage, wage withholding is not applicable to you. It is true that certain techniques only apply to certain kinds of categories of people, but you could argue inversely that the wage holding technique affected only a part of the people and therefore we shouldn't have done that because it