

their license revoked, erroneously and all you are going to do with this bill, 592, is hand them back their license and say, "sorry", you know we are sorry, but sorry to me isn't going to be good enough. You are going to incur expenses, if it was me involved in something like that and I had a business in some town, I would probably take out ads in the paper saying this was an erroneous problem. So you would be incurring expenses. And what this would say, my amendment would make it so that license holder, who had their license pulled erroneously, would have a cause of action against the department, if this was the case, if it was found to be revoked or suspended by error. To me that is the only fair thing to do, because this is probably one of the greatest fears out there of this bill. You are creating a bigger bureaucracy than we have right now to deal with this problem. You are spending a lot of money. You are hiring new people and the purpose supposedly is to increase the rate of child support payments, it is not to destroy people's lives or businesses. So, to me it makes perfect sense to allow that it is not good enough that if something is done in error, if this is done in error, if their license is pulled in error, that we allow this department to just hand it back to the person and say, we are sorry we have destroyed your business or whatever damage we may have caused but, you know, we apologize and that is the end of it. I think that person should be allowed to take the department to court and file a cause of action and receive any damages or whatever they have found in court that is fair in this situation, because once we put a law through, I guess the thing that always makes me the most...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...concerned is we will put through something like this, some bureaucratic approach to some problem and then we lose touch with it. We totally lose touch with how it is actually working, and we rarely ask for any feedback on how it is working. I think we pointed out at a previous amendment of mine that was defeated that we are not going to be asking for whether we are increasing child support or any of the other data that I think would be important and pertinent information. We are just going to be asking, how many licenses were revoked? We should be asking, how many were revoked in error? I think that would be an important piece of information, but we are not going to be asking for that either in 592. So, I'm just saying, if you pull something, if you damage somebody's reputation we