

January 19, 1996 LB 592

page 2457 of last year's Journal. (See AM1890.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President, members of the Legislature, this is a simple, technical amendment. Somehow, in the first draft, the definition of license holder, which should have been in the definitional sections, was left out. It simply says, license holder means the individual who holds an operator's license or a professional or occupational license. And operator's license and professional license is defined, is otherwise defined in the bill. So it just brings things altogether. A technical amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any discussion of the Beutler amendment? Seeing none, the question before you is the adoption of the Beutler amendment to LB 592. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay.

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Beutler and Day's amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, anything further on LB 592?

CLERK: Senator Witek would move to amend. (Witek amendment, FA393, appears on page 532 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this amendment goes to page 17...or page 12, line 17. And it's being passed out right now on the floor. I talked about the costs of this bill, 592. There's a fiscal note with the bill, but there's not an annual cost to administer this bill, which is something, I know, that we don't do very often to ask for some follow-up on the cost. We get a fiscal note that tells us how much it's going to cost, initially, and then we don't get too much heads up on how much it ends up costing, and some things end up costing more than we planned. And I think cost is something