

bureaucracy than was necessary to handle what the problems have been. The problems have been from nonpayment of child support. And the other issue of visitation has also come into this discussion, as I think it should have. So what my amendment would do, it's a three page amendment, it's a fairly simple amendment. If you want to read through it, it's a...it's easy to read, it's not doublespeak language. And it would remove the administrative license revocation, remove the bureaucracy. It would allow courts to impound licenses in contempt actions, which is support and visitation. So for either one the court would be making the decision on whether the license should be impounded. And this, we're talking about just the motor vehicle license, not the professional license, although I still have reservations about the motor vehicle license, my husband being a courier. This would impact an individual whose, whose motor vehicle license is their business license. But that's another issue that we'll probably have to discuss or decide at a later point. We already have gone over it pretty thoroughly. This would also limit the license to just the driver's license, allow DSS to, to tell nonpayers that they will have license impoundment in the court system. It would remove the creation of the visitation referees, which is part of this bill, and it would remove section on filing modification actions without an attorney. In essence, what it does, it does fulfill the major goals of 592 to allow the court to use license impoundment when they believe it's appropriate. It allows the Department of Social Services to ask for impoundment in a contempt action. Child support collections would still be aided with the threat of losing a driver's license. And all this would be accomplished without any additional staff or administrative rules and regulations. If you are uncomfortable with 592 as I am, because we're putting together a huge bureaucracy here to handle this problem, I think it goes too far. I think it goes too far in the professional licenses. I think it goes too far on the bureaucracy. I think this would be a good first step for the state of Nebraska to take to address this problem of nonpayment of child support and the visitation issue. It empowers the court, it allows them to make those decisions on removing the driver's license of those individuals. They're the ones who are involved on a day-to-day basis in these issues, we are not. So I think that the, you know, that we tend to come up with these more bureaucratic responses to these types of issues. And while people are willing to do that, I don't necessarily think it's going to be an effective measure to enforce child