

January 18, 1996 LR 17

effect, that one of the reasons we have to consider rules that would restrict debate and amendments and motions and so forth is because a limitation was placed on the number of days the Legislature can meet?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Oh, go ahead, go ahead.

SENATOR WARNER: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Don't cut him off.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes is an affirmative, clear answer, absolutely.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, we have a young upstart, whipper snapper going against the wisdom articulated by the Dean. We just went through a literal Hades discussing rules which would not have to have even been discussed if we didn't have a limitation placed on the number of days we can meet. And now, bless Peter, or praise be to the Almighty, whichever you prefer, we're being presented with a proposal to limit the number of days even further. This is going to be a very interesting session. But I'm going to limit my remarks to Senator Schimek's amendment as much as I can. I wanted to get those preliminary things into the record because I'm going to turn my light on again. Although I'm in disagreement with her proposal, it is one of those things we need to seriously discuss. But here's where I disagree with my colleague, Senator Schimek. I had talked about a story written by Oscar Wilde, called The Picture of Dorian Gray. And in that story was the comment, some people know the cost of everything and...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...the value of nothing. I'm not going to say that's what Senator Schimek means, but I want her to elaborate on her suggestion that we should trade away or sell off some of our prerogatives as legislators, in terms of the number of bills we can offer for a paltry increase in salary.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Lindsay, on the Schimek amendment.