

January 17, 1996

talk about. We spend hours on perhaps peripheral issues that are important to someone, no question about that, and I wouldn't ever want to belittle that. That might very well, just as well be my amendments as anyone else's, and very well probably will be. But in weighing in my mind the disadvantage and the fear of giving the institution of Speaker more power to provide for orderly discussion, I have to come down on the side of the benefits in the long run of doing that, as opposed to the frustration that I will experience at times when my amendment is not one of the first two or three that the Speaker chooses to debate on a major bill. It's...to me that is the policy question. And if we want to continue to take amendments and motions the way we have in the past and try to work through that, with the time constraints we have in a 60-day session, or 90-day session, time is always on the side, with the rules we have as they are, with those who wish to defeat the process and obstruct the process, because we have a limited number of days that we're going to be here. And Senator Warner spoke to that a few days ago, and that crystallized it for me as well because we have the limitation on days, because we are short on time, because the issues become more complex every year, and there are more complex bills to consider, time is on the side of those who wish to confuse the issue, to talk about the peripheral issues and not get to the meat of the bill or the heart of the bill. So, considering that and not without some reservations, I have to come down on the side of trying to figure out a way to get an orderly discussion on the major components...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...of the major bills. And there is risk in that, there's institutional risk. And we may find that that experiment is one that we don't wish to continue. But in this session where we're facing the issues we're facing, I think it's well worth a try. Time has gone by and this institution has to make some changes to be able to deal with these issues, unless we want to remove, somehow, constitutionally the limitation on the number of days we have to spend here. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have not spoken on this proposed change to the rules, but the last time we considered Senator Bernard-Stevens' amendment I voted for it,