

January 17, 1996

adopt this subdivision (c), it's going to give me, if I see the necessity, the opportunity to create havoc, because I will offer amendments. And every time the Speaker takes...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ... (inaudible) above it, I'm going to accuse him and excoriate him for being unfair, arbitrary, and if it relates to the interests of my constituency, racist. And if you don't like it, then lump it. I'm prepared for the battle, whether we do it today or any other day in the session. So by no means should anybody think that by leaving this subdivision (c) in the rule change and adopting it, that you have done anything other than to provide an additional basis for a battle. Get ready for tight stomachs, get ready for ulcers and everything else that those of you who cannot stand strong will feel when things don't (interrupted).

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time, Senator Chambers. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I haven't spoken on this for a while, so trying to get back into the swing of the discussion here a little bit. I appreciated the remarks on this amendment that everyone has made. And to me, it really comes down to a clear decision on which policy you think is best for this body. On the one side, and I understand this side very well, and certainly it's in the back of my mind; on the one side you've got individual senators that are fearful, and I'm sure Senator Chambers would fall into this category, that their amendments are not going to be considered ahead of someone else's, that the Speaker is going to choose someone else's amendment as being more substantive, or more important, or more germane to the crux of the bill. And regardless of how prompt they were about filing their amendment, they may find that theirs falls behind three or four others, and they have reluctance to give the Speaker that kind of discretion, power and authority. And I have some reservations about that institutional change, not related to who the Speaker is, but because it represents a change in authority for that position. On the other side of that issue, however, I have to think about the times that I've been here that we've had major bills and been so frustrated because we cannot have what I consider to be an adequate discussion on those three or four key elements or points in the bill that we need to thresh out and thoroughly