

January 17, 1996

country, there is a way of ordering and disciplining debate in this regard, and that is because they have partisan legislators and because the leadership determines, not only the order of amendments, but whether there will be amendments, and which amendments will be allowed. This body, even if you adopt this amendment, will have the most liberal rule on amendments in the entire United States, just by virtue of the nature of the structure of our organization. So, for people to be worried about power in one sense is to be worried about power where no other legislative body in this country has been so worried about power. But beyond that, worrying about power in the Speaker is a misplaced concern, in my opinion, because every decision the Speaker makes under this rule is subject to override by the membership. So, in effect, . . . I mean we're not putting in place a rule that says the Speaker decides and there is no questioning the Speaker. That's the way it is in most places. That's not what we're suggesting here. We're suggesting that we retain, for purposes of this amendment, the body's right to override the Speaker, and so in effect what you have is not Speaker power but power in the general body to look at things and to order things logically and in some proportion. I remind you of the failures of last session, and I really do think they were institutional failures of this body. And those failures were the failures to consider in an orderly manner the amendments to the crime bill and the amendments to the welfare bill and maybe one or two others you could argue, but those two certainly. There's no excuse for us to have a system that does not allow us, by one means or another, to get to that which is important, and that's the whole point of this particular amendment that is being stricken. It has to do with the order of amendments, and it has to do with a specific, positive assertion to the Speaker with regard to the scheduling of these five important matters. And I want to speak a little more next time around on the other parts of the amendment and the Legislature as an institution. But this last minute I just wanted to take a second to describe the process here with you today for purposes of having one more discussion on what is the most important part of the bill, as brought up again by Senator Bernard-Stevens. We withdrew the motion to suspend the rules and vote without further debate. It now sits behind, depending on whether they're taken up, four or five amendments. So we will go through the process of four or five amendments, the motion to suspend the rules, which most of you have said you would support, will then be up, and we will vote on it, up or down; then we will vote up or down on the