

January 17, 1996

Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senator Chambers, maybe you could clarify something for me. I will be honest, I was not paying attention as closely as I should. I was talking to some of the closet liberals back here, Senator Wehrbein and I know Senator Coordsen up there, according to the Attorney General Stenberg anyway.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: He is as wrong about that as he is on his legal theories when he brings these frivolous lawsuits that he always loses.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Well, hopefully, he'll work on his people skills and he'll learn how to deal with criticism. But nonetheless, Senator Chambers, I thought I heard you say that this was an amendment that you offered in good faith but you hoped that we would not agree to it. Maybe I didn't hear that correctly. And then I heard...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...Senator Will say that he thought it was a good amendment. So I was...my equilibrium was thrown off there. Would you kind of repeat for me again.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I said, Senator Bernard-Stevens, is that rule proposal number six I am not in agreement with. But if they're going to adopt it, this amendment that I'm offering I think is essential to make it clear that the only types of resolutions which would get this treatment, this special treatment, would be those to propose an amendment to the constitution. And I will support this amendment I'm offering.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Senator Chambers, for that. And with that explanation, I will also be supporting the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. You're finished? Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close on your amendment.