

January 17, 1996

the time wasted yesterday, are inconsequential in most instances, waste time and accomplish no legitimate legislative purpose, I believe that if you're going to put into a rule, as this proposal would do, the following language, "designate up to five bills or resolutions as major proposals during each legislative session", I think we ought to qualify the resolutions that would be eligible for such designation. So what I would do with this amendment that I'm offering you is to add, after the word "resolutions", "proposing to amend the Constitution". So then the only type of resolution which could be designated a major proposal would be one designed to amend the constitution. Any other kind of resolution wouldn't even fall into this category and could be handled any way the Speaker chose anyway. So what I am offering this morning is that we deal with resolutions to amend the constitution in the same way that bills are dealt with currently. But the only way we can make that apply in this proposed rule change is to adopt the language that I'm offering you this morning. After the word "resolutions" would be "proposing to amend the Constitution". This is the way that first sentence and subdivision (a) would read, if you adopt my amendment, "Designate up to five bills or resolutions proposing to amend the Constitution as major proposals". Otherwise, I think that the term "resolution" should just be dropped altogether. If you have any questions, I'm prepared to answer them.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Will, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. I rise in support of the Chambers amendment. I think certainly as the Rules Committee was sitting down and contemplating the designation of either bills or resolutions as major proposals, in my mind at least, the resolutions that we were talking about would be limited to those that would amend the constitution. I can't... I can't fathom any other resolution that would merit designation as a major proposal. I would think that only those that, as Senator Chambers indicate, would be treated as bills are, and those are resolutions that would amend the constitution, would rise to the level of being worthy of having the designation as a major proposal and receiving the treatment that would be contemplated by this proposed rule change. I believe that this is a good amendment. I still support the rule change, but I think this is a modification that is worth making.