

January 11, 1996

the line that would suspend the rules to limit debate and limit amendments. I think Senator Beutler can count votes as good as I can and when you have 22 senators saying that you should repeal section (sic) (c) and there are 45 senators present, I don't think there are 30 votes to do that, Senator Beutler, and, Senator Bromm, I don't think you do either. But, obviously, on that motion that's filed that's extraordinary so we have to have extra votes, two-thirds. This is extraordinary. If we're going to leave it all into a committee, two-thirds really makes sense. I appreciate Senator Bromm's comments and I appreciate his support. I also support the measure.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, followed by Senator Will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I appreciate Senator Bromm's support. When I first heard him say that he supported the proposition, it rendered me almost breathless; and when I digested the significance of what he said, it rendered me almost, but not quite, speechless. I had to at least make the comment that I just did. I do offer this amendment in all seriousness and for the reasons that others have discussed and what I've said, so I will not take all of the time that I have on this chance to speak, but I am saying that this is a serious amendment that I'm offering. I hope you will support it.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body. Again I rise in opposition to the amendment. I would want to indicate that any reactions that I have to any amendments that are proposed are not "knee jerk" reactions. I do take every amendment that is offered by any member of this body seriously on any proposal and that includes this one. That includes, obviously, all of Senator Chambers' motions and I simply...and I appreciate Senator Bromm's opinion on this. He and I, obviously, are both supporters of this rules change. We have a simple difference on this one matter. We are talking about only one additional vote required on the Executive Board. That is the case. I think that certainly reasonable minds could differ on this. I will continue to come down on the side of a simple majority of the Executive Board being allowed to ratify the Speaker's designation of a major proposal and, simply to briefly reiterate the reasons that I stated earlier, that is because I