

January 11, 1996

perspective, but obviously not, is that whenever in this Legislature there is something extraordinary, something that's going to be very controversial, we have always, in most cases, said that you probably need a few extra votes to do that. Extraordinary would be to override a Governor's veto, we need some extra votes to do that. Extraordinary would be if we want to suspend the rules, we need some extra votes to do that. Extraordinary would be on other motions that you need to have 30 votes, or 33, or if you're going to have a special election and it's a constitutional amendment, you might even have to have 40, because it's extraordinary things that we're doing. This is an extraordinary proposal. No one would argue that it's a major, substantive change when you allow the Speaker to, whoever that Speaker would be, to designate which amendments and which motions will be applicable and all the other ones be darned. These are the ones that meet the litmus test of the agenda that's being proposed by whichever bill is being addressed. What Senator Chambers is doing is saying, look, if you don't want to do unanimous consent, and that was reaching probably a little far, let's at least recognize this change for what it is, it's major. Let's at least say that just not a simple majority of a committee can approve this type of thing. Because when it is controversial, you know that those interest groups involved are going to be very much involved with that...those committee members. We've all been there before and we all know that sometimes, you know, bills pass or not pass depending upon the weakest senator here. Which senator on a committee will bow down and use as a reason for voting for something that they may not agree with, well, I just wanted...I think it's fair, it's a controversial issue; we should just get it out on the floor for discussion. Even though they personally say they disagree, I think it should be out on the floor for discussion. That happens time and time again and that's how many bills that shouldn't be out, wouldn't be out, get out. And if, in fact, this is an extraordinary measure, at least we should say that two-thirds of the committee, which in this case is six, six of nine, that six members should say, yeah, we think this is good. I don't think it's enough, but it certainly is better and it gives us some cover by saying, if we're going to do something that's extraordinary and put it in policy for all times, we at least should recognize that we want to have at least a two-thirds, as we do on other motions that are of extraordinary nature as well. Senator Beutler has a motion, I think it's Senator Beutler's, it may have been someone else, later on down