

January 11, 1996

will just make some assertions.... Oh, Senator Bromm, I'd like to ask you a question. Senator Bromm, the only thing that this proposal that's before us now will do, if it's adopted, is prevent the Speaker from designating a proposal as a major proposal if he does not get the support of the Executive Board. Is that correct? If the Executive Board votes against one of these being named a major proposal, the Speaker cannot so designate it. Is that correct?

SENATOR BROMM: As the rule was originally proposed, or as your amendment reads, Senator Chambers? Are you referring...which alternative?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, as the proposal exists now without my amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Right. Right, it would have to have the approval of the Executive Board.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if the Executive Board did not give that approval, what would prevent the Speaker from exercising his prerogative to set the agenda as he sees fit? Nothing, would it?

SENATOR BROMM: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, he doesn't really need the approval of the Executive Board, does he?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, I don't know if the body would be receptive of the Speaker using his power quite that fluently. I think he would run into some static and possibly motions to overrule the agenda and that kind of thing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you couldn't, because under the rule when, as it's written now with reference to priority bills, they generally will be given that status and handled on that basis.

SENATOR BROMM: Well that...yeah, this rule does not change the normal procedure on senator priority bills. I would say it just gives these five or less proposals what I...I hate to use this word, but kind of a super priority status.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But the Speaker, even if his so-called five