

January 11, 1996

prerogatives of individual senators can occur. And the body may decide to do that, but it will be done over my opposition. And I will continue to oppose what I see is something which is inappropriate. I also want to expand on something I was discussing with Senator Maurstad yesterday, when he had said I should be thankful that I'm in this kind of Legislature. And the main point that I wanted to emphasize escaped me because I was digressing. Senator Maurstad, had the structure of the Unicameral been put together specifically for my benefit, maybe I could say I have some appreciation. But the Unicameral Legislature was put together without me or my people in mind at all. So, it's not a system designed to benefit me or those I represent, it was a system put together to benefit those who are lacking in the pigmentation that I have in my skin. I'm trying to be as collegial and...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...tactful as I can in some things that I say, you know, because Senator Landis is watching and I want him to know that I'm being collegial. I don't see anything wrong with requiring a unanimous approval or agreement by the Executive Board, because if you don't get that, because of me being a member, then the proposal on the floor is going to run into opposition, and I'm sure, now that we've talked about this so called dilatory rule, it will be invoked, and it will again give me an opportunity to show how I am controlling the way this Legislature operates. More time and energy is given to trying to corral and control me than to taking care of the business of the Legislature. But since that's the agenda that the majority choose to pursue, I will work with you on that agenda.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on. As I understand this is your amendment, so you will be recognized for closing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just so that the record is clear on what has been discussed, this amendment that is pending now says that before the Speaker's designation of a major proposal would take effect, it would require a unanimous vote or agreement by the Executive Board. I'd like to ask a question of Senator Beutler, since the Speaker is in the Chair. Senator Beutler. Oh, Senator Beutler is not... Is Senator Bromm here? Everybody is headed for the hills, brothers! So, I