

January 11, 1996

some responsibility ourselves. So I do not see the onerous part of this particular amendment, though again I know that it will not pass. I want to talk to people briefly about section (c). I've had a lot of senators come up to me recently and say that they'd like to review that process again, they're open to consideration, those that were...some of those that were voting on the red side. And I suspect there might be 25 votes now to pass that. But one of the reasons I offered that amendment was because it was a way to get through this roadblock. It was still a way that the Speaker could designate five bills. It was still a way that, with the approval of the Executive Board, we could move five things to the top of the agenda. It was still a way that the Speaker could deal with committee chairs, and it was also a way that we, the Legislature, as individuals would maintain a lot of our integrity on how we represent our constituents. Obviously, it's no surprise when I changed my vote to "not voting" that I will plan on reconsidering that bill. I'm not sure if I will or not. I certainly have today to file it, and I have Tuesday to file it. But if for some reason we would break and all of the amendments would be agreed to or gone through, and we would then have a vote on the permanent rules, there would be no reason of reconsideration because the body has spoken. I suspect what will happen now is that we will not move forward for awhile, we're going to sit and stew, and at some point I will bring that reconsideration motion back. And all the reconsideration motion did was...or all the motion did that I had, struck part (c). And when you really get down to it what that motion did is say, look, I'm willing personally to give the Speaker the authority to select five bills, as amended by Senator Maurstad. I'm willing to say that the Speaker can even put those bills ahead of my priority bills,...

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...I'm willing to do that. I'm willing that he can have more authority working with the committee chairs. Even though the committees still have their responsibility, they can kill the bill, if they so desire. But what I'm not willing to do is to give up all of the Legislature's rights on amendments, and I'm not willing to say the Speaker can say, I agree with these amendments and these motions, and that's it, no other amendments and motions can be