

January 11, 1996

things that we're discussing here. When we say that the Speaker will have all of this power, I think that is a mistake. Senator Bromm and I were with Senator Beutler discussing, in a very collegial manner, this proposal overall. But I've got to maybe break out of that collegiality to talk about what it seems to me they're saying when they indicate that these proposals only go to organizational matters, and that, as Senator Bromm said, they have nothing to do with power. That, Senator Beutler, is unreasonable; that, Senator Bromm, is illogical. Let's say that I went onto a steamship, Senator Will, and I saw this huge boiler where steam is being contained as it's generated. And Senator Bromm tells me, because I'm opposed to steam engines, he says, well, Senator Chambers, I know we have all this apparatus to generate steam, I know we have this huge boiler, but you make a mistake what the purpose of the steam is. The purpose of the steam is not to drive the ship, its only purpose is to blow the ship's whistle. That's what I have to say to try to make the members understand what's being discussed here. Senator Bromm wants to say that giving the Speaker these prerogatives has nothing to do with power. In other words, they do not go to driving the ship, they go only to tooting the whistle. I say the contrary is true. When you look at that proposal that Senator Bernard-Stevens unsuccessfully tried to get us to delete, every motion that can be made pursuant to our rules can be scheduled by the Speaker in such a way that it will never be heard and never taken up. If there are five amendments that the Speaker likes, and those with whom he's working approve of, and Senator Beutler says they're logical, and...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Senator Bromm agrees, and Senator Will, then all of those will be heard. Now comes the chance for my motion to be heard, and by then they have some other amendments that they want to offer. They present those and my motion is dropped further, and further, and further. This is most likely to happen to Senator Witek, Senator Robak, myself. Senator Jensen doesn't offer much, but if he did it would happen to him, too. Senator Pirsch is going to come out of her dozing state, so far, and offer some things later in the session and it will apply to her. We know to whom these things are likely to apply. So, my amendment that is pending now says, before this designation by the Speaker there has to be unanimous agreement to it by the Executive Board.