

January 11, 1996

he's already got his priority bill in. He's at the top of the list. And if we get...if he gets bogged down on his bill and we're running out of time and all of a sudden the other bills that's designated by the Speaker go above it, they must be of so importance that it would be even more important than those bills we select as priority bills. And if that be the case, we probably should, if it's that important, have it up that high. But on a major change like that, if it's so important, I think we should follow the same process. If the Executive Board, which are people that we in our caucuses elect to represent the caucus, they should feel also that it is in the best interest. And I say that, interestingly enough, because sometimes these bills may be an urban-rural split. Maybe that will be the...it will be the big debate on something that would affect, say Micron, where we have somewhat an urban-rural split. Maybe it will be a property tax issue. And if you just simply do it by a majority of the Executive Board, a group may be able to control, by majority vote, that which is not in the best interests of, say, the agriculture society, or maybe even the urban society, depending on the makeup of that committee. If the bill is really that important to the state of Nebraska that it go above all others, then I think it's not too much to ask that those members that we select to represent us on the Executive Board, that they too are in agreement, that, yes, this is very important, this just isn't something that's been worked out between a Speaker and a Governor's office, or a Speaker and a group of people that maybe worked for...have a particular agenda. This is something that all of us, through our representatives, believe should be done. So, philosophically, I don't think it's a bad thing to ask. If you're giving a broad-based power, let's make sure that when the power is used that we, the Legislature, through our representatives on the Executive Board, that they come back and say to us, it is a good designation. we all agree upon that. And that also relieves a lot of the tension on the floor. If it comes out unanimous by the Executive Board, it's going to eliminate the discussion of, oh yeah, a simple majority did it on the Executive Board, but it just wasn't reflective of the rest of the state, and that automatically puts us into a divided issue on the floor...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...as to, was the Executive Board represented by the entire state? I think it will alleviate a