

January 11, 1996

now does exactly what Senator Beutler mentioned. It will require a unanimous vote or unanimous approval by the Executive Board to this designation by the Speaker of a bill as a major proposal. Much of what is left in this rule, after Senator Maurstad's amendment, makes no sense. And I can understand that those who were on the Rules Committee, who thought they had been struck with a flash of brilliance and inspiration, now see that that has been rendered nugatory and it's difficult for them to accept it. They would be wise not to become so ego-involved so that a rejection of what they offered, that may have seemed appropriate at the time, no longer is appropriate and is going to be done away with. That way they would not feel that a rejection of this is a rejection of them. That's some unsolicited advice. But since Senator Beutler wants to discuss this amendment that I have up there, I will continue to do so. And I will put my light on again.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I rise in support of the Chambers amendment only on one philosophical point. And I have no doubt, Senator Chambers, that this amendment will not pass, so I'm not going to spend a great deal of effort trying to convince people to vote for it. But philosophically I do believe that when we do something of a major change on the floor, such as Senator Chambers asked to do, we ask for unanimous consent. Every member of the Legislature has to approve that. And we can do a lot of things by unanimous consent. I would argue with the Clerk sometimes we should be able to do more things by unanimous consent. One of these times, this session, we'll put that to a test, but not at this particular point. What Senator Chambers, I think, is basically saying is that, look, if in fact we are going to give the Speaker the authority to select five bills, we need to ask ourselves, okay, these five bills must be of...so vital important that even though no senator had picked that as a priority bill, or the senator that picked it as a priority bill picked it so late because they are just unable to find someone to get it in early, so it may not be discussed, these bills that are chosen by the Speaker must be so important that it affects all the people of the state of Nebraska, and they will go above all of the other bills that those of us in the Legislature looked at and said, these are important to us. So, if Senator Schrock says, or Senator Maurstad would be a better example,