

January 10, 1996

Legislature, open to debate and open to change, and people used to be willing to listen to our colleagues who would stand up and point out legitimate difficulties with legislation. We'd listen to those arguments, we would vote for amendments that made sense, we'd vote down amendments that didn't make sense and then, when it became apparent that...that we had gone as far as we could and we weren't going to reach place, we'd make some sort of concessions and probably end up with a better bill than what we started out with. That process has gradually eroded. Part of that's because we have tougher issues today. Issues come to us with lines drawn in the sand where maybe they weren't as much in my early days in the Legislature. I think many of us come with a more doctrinaire viewpoint that we have the answers and we're going to fight, battle, each other as opposed to seeking a better solution. And the cloture rule has changed that and also we still have this attitude, despite the fact that we want to fight more, we want to debate more, we want to maintain our positions, we still have this attitude that it comes five o'clock we ought to be able to trot off to whatever social function we have and pick up the business the next day. It seems to me that we're not doing as much of this solving problems on the floor as we did in the past and I think that might be why we see some members, and, yes, Senator Chambers, it is you well over half the time but it's not just you, it's others, I mean I've participated in it, Senator Landis has participated in it, Senator Dierks a couple of years ago participated in it, we see more and more of this type of activity that by our rule here we seem to be trying to prevent. Again, I think this ability that the Speaker would have to pull out the most important amendments is probably a good one.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I'm probably going to come down on side of...on the side of that. But I think more importantly we need to look inside ourselves and not look to our rules. There's no magic weapon we're going to put in the rules that's suddenly going to make this a smoothly flowing operation. We need to look in ourselves and take upon some responsibility of listening to what our colleagues have to say, reacting as if it is a good faith proposal because it usually is, working on finding solutions. And then, when we reach the point where we can't, the real way that you end these is to put in the hours and the hard work to fess up to that. Magic changes in the rules are not going to