

January 10, 1996

to be done can be done. We've always got the safety of 30 can overturn anything that is attempted, but I want to leave the one thought in your mind, you wouldn't be doing this at all if you didn't have limitation on days 'cause it didn't make any difference when we had no limitations. Every bill introduced was either enacted or indefinitely postponed when we adjourned, and it's a concept that as long as we have limitations you're going to have to have some method to select what should be done or needs to be done or else just leave it totally to chance, and that will bring us more criticism, I would guess, over time than selecting some group or some individual to help designate those issues. So with that I'll be in support of the bill (sic) and I don't intend to speak on the...or the rule, but I don't intend to speak again.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Warner. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL. Thank you, Madam President, members of the body. I rise obviously in opposition to this amendment and I think Senator Beutler covered most of what I would comment on. I think it is important to take a look at the specific mechanics of this rule and to the actual power that the Speaker is...not actually that he's given but that he's limited to under this and that is that he makes a determination now of five major proposals, the way the rule is structured. That has to be with the concurrence of the Executive Board. The Executive Board has an absolute veto over any proposal that they would deem to be unwise or misinformed or for any reason they thought another proposal should take its place. Secondly, as far as the interaction with the committees, especially now with the Maurstad amendment adopted, the committee chair would have equal say with the Speaker on determining a date certain for not only the hearing on the major proposal but also with respect to the committee taking action on it, and I would...and I would envision the rule functioning in such a fashion that the Speaker would sit down with the committee chairs and work out a schedule that's reasonable given the workload of the committee, given the time frame of the session, and given the complexity of the issue, obviously. The...certainly the ordering of amendments that would be allowed, that the Speaker would be allowed to do, would be a significant part of this rule, but, again, the amendments could be brought up. Nothing in this rule would preclude amendments to the amendments. I think there would be...the only purpose for having that in as far as I would be