

January 10, 1996

than the rest of you because I don't need sustenance of any kind during a day, not solid, not liquid, and I will outlast all of you. And I don't even care if you want to go beyond twelve midnight to see if legally a legislative day can last beyond twelve o'clock midnight. Throw down the cudgel, Senator Will and others, and I accept the challenge. On this particular amendment, I want to say again, that Senator Maurstad is offering, so it won't get lost in the shuffle, I'm going to support that and I'm going to speak every time I get the opportunity and, Madam President, if at any point you would rule that something, that there's been enough discussion, I'm not going to be offended at you. I will just seek to overrule the chair on that particular item and we'll get some votes and discussions that way. So you...we're going to discuss it while it's before us as an amendment or we're going to discuss it on a point of order, but in any case I will be heard, if not by the members of this Legislature, by those who watch us. And if not heard by anybody, I nevertheless shall speak. And on this particular rules change proposal I have to remind some of the senators that elitism should not be what the Unicameral is about. When you go back to George Norris, or whoever it was who supposedly put this idea of a unicameral together, the idea was to not have those situations where parties controlled, where agendas are set by a few individuals foisted on the body. There is no genuine discussion worthy of the name. There are pre- and fore-ordained agendas which are rammed through the Legislature. So when you have these days and you're honoring George Norris...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ..I'm going to stand up and say "horse feathers" because the practices of the Legislature don't accord with the things they say George Norris was about. Another thing, the rules changes that seem to be brought out of the Rules Committee are designed to restrict more and more what we can do as legislators and you all will accept the restriction in the interest of what is called efficiency or moving rapidly, but I will not accept from me. And I can't outvote you, but I can outlast you and I will do that. This is one of the most significant as well as most pernicious things to have come before us in the form of a rule change. I'm not talking about Senator Maurstad's right now. I mean the overall proposal. Senator Maurstad, could I ask you a question?