

January 10, 1996

unconstitutional because maybe we'd forgotten to do a procedural matter of adding a cost estimate to the crime bill changes that were made. You know, that kind of legislating just doesn't result in the best legislation. My interest in supporting this rule change has nothing to do with really with power. It has nothing to do with trying to dilute the power of committees or anything like that. My support for this rule change is motivated totally by trying to make sure that we have a way of doing the best job of legislating that we can. I think the public deserves no less than for us to find an organized way to consider the most important issues facing the citizens of the state of Nebraska. Now maybe it can be done under our present rules and I think it probably could be, but it would take a significant amount of more discipline on the part of all of us and on the part of a few of us and on the part of proponents of bills and committee chairmen to figure out how we can discuss these issues in a timely manner and have enough time to consider the most important amendments on bills and not "whittle-waddle" our time away on insignificant things while the most important things sit and wait to be debated during the last few days of the session. Now this provides an opportunity I believe for the Speaker and the Exec Board and leadership of the Legislature, including the committee chairmen, to get those issues before us in a timely manner for significant discussion. And the Speaker can special order things. I think most Speakers, and I would have to say I believe this very much about our own Speaker right now, want to use that very, very sparingly. You know, I don't think a Speaker wants to take the Micron approach except under extraordinary circumstances. And there were valid arguments that that was an extraordinary circumstance last year and I don't think that's going to be repeated for welfare-needed legislation or for crime legislation...

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...or for the property tax issue. I just don't think that's going to be the case. So I would like...I mean I know there's apprehension about change. There always is and there always should be, but I would like to ask members of the body to seriously consider and remember how we really scrambled last year and in years prior to that to find enough time to significantly debate major issues. That's all this is. I'd like to try it this session because of the type of session that we're headed into with a few significant issues that need time.