

January 9, 1996

change which is one of the reasons I have an indefinitely postpone, which technically is more for a process of discussion, because a no vote on the...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: ...entire rule change is the IPP.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you Senator Bernard-Stevens. Again, we are on the Maurstad amendment. Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you Mr. President. On the Rules Committee we did debate whether or not ten bills was appropriate or not and there was considerable support for a lesser number and in view of the fact that we are treading relatively new territory here with the approach that we are taking with this rule change number 6. I rise to support the Maurstad amendment of five bills or resolutions being designated as major proposals. As we head into this... into the area we are heading into, I think it is entirely appropriate to proceed with some caution, not that I have any problem with ten either for that matter, it does say up to ten and if the Speaker determines that there are less than ten that are deserving of this particular priority status then he certainly can chose less than ten but just as we head into this perhaps the legislature might feel a little more comfortable dealing with the number five and so I think it is a good amendment and I will support it but I will support the proposal either with five or ten, for that matter. I will use just a little bit of my time because we are nearly up to noon anyway to speak a little more generally about the proposal perhaps. I think in the last three years, not having enough time on the floor to debate in detail some of the major amendments to some of the major bills whether it be crime, welfare, perhaps a tax proposal has been one of the most frustrating things that I have experienced here. It is one of the things that is commented to me most about by constituents and those who watch the legislature closely. And, it is very true that we always find a way, I think, generally to work things out and get through a bill and we get... if the bill needs to be passed we find a way to do that. I am confident that we generally always will. But, I'm not sure that the end product is always of the quality that it should be when we don't have the adequate debate time on the floor that we should have. I'm really anxious to try this, this session, and if it isn't