

January 9, 1996

because of the way debate has proceeded that we have been unable to deal with major proposals in the fashion that many members at least feel is appropriate. So with that, I would simply welcome comments on this, I certainly answer any questions that I can. I certainly think the Speaker ought to comment on how he would envision this process working and you may want to direct questions to him as well. By the way, this is not something that Speaker Withem brought to us, this is something that the committee came up with on our own. So, with that, I would end my opening and welcome comments on this.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you Senator Will. We will pause for a moment, Senator Pedersen has guests here in the Chamber this morning seated under the north balcony. His wife, Priscilla, daughter, Michaela and you may have noticed granddaughter, Charlie leading Senator Pedersen around the floor from time to time. So we welcome these folks to the Chamber.

Mr. Clerk, amendments to the bill.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Maurstad would move to amend the proposed rules change, by striking in Subsection 17(a), the new language, striking 10 and inserting 5.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Maurstad.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Mr. President, this is obviously a very simple amendment to comprehend to a bill that is far more difficult to try to envision what the ramifications of it might be. Would like to state first of all that I am very inclined to support this amendment, brought to us by the Rules Committee, while not infallible, I have a great deal of confidence in the individuals that this Legislature elects to leadership positions and feel that they could in fact implement this rule change in a very appropriate manner that would lead to ultimately better public policy. This change merely indicates that ten might be a little more aggressive start than what I would like to, I would like to see and that we might, we might be ultimately be better served if we took a little slower approach and at least initially for the first year or so allow the Speaker to designate five bills instead of ten bills. I think that we could still find, or the Speaker could find five bills that would certainly have the importance to go through this process and we could then determine how successful it might be. Since