

January 9, 1996

when we're considering it?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. And everybody would have answered the same way. Let's say there is such an item and you got 27 votes. The Governor wants it and he can't get enough "Repelicans" to go with him, and, because I'm miffed, he can't get my vote either. So you move to return the bill and you get 27 votes and you return it. Now you make a motion to adopt it and you get 27 votes, and you cannot adopt it. You have a clear majority, it's got to pass, and not just for the Governor, but the Governor will be whipping the Legislature this year, so I thought I'd just throw this out there, 'cause there may be a situation where he's going to want to whip you into shape. Since you don't...somebody facetiously mentioned the Attorney General. Senator Witek only has one vote, Senator Lindsay, buttressed by Senator Jensen and Senator Pirsch, so they only got three votes. Sometimes I can even get more votes on something than the three of them can combined. So we know we're out in the cold, we know that. I'm talking about the majority of my colleagues who try to put together what they call coalitions. You have this situation that I just described for you. The form is so much more important than the substance that you apply Senator Wickersham's proposal to the last opportunity that exists to make sure that something is enacted which a majority feels ought to be enacted. Senator Will, Senator Wickersham, Senator Vrtiska, and all the others who are voting with Senator Wickersham agree that if you can't get the 30 votes you shouldn't be able to do it. Just so you're aware of the application and impact of what Senator Wickersham is persuading you all to support. Again, it makes me no difference. It will give me the opportunity, when you get caught in the snare, to laugh, and I will. This amendment that I'm offering would allow Senator Wickersham's proposal, if you adopt it, to apply in every situation, except when a bill is on Final Reading and a motion is made to return it to Select File for a specific amendment. Now we all know that if a bill is returned for a specific amendment the only thing that can be offered is the amendment itself, and there can be no amending of that. If the attempt is made to break whatever is wanted into a number of little, bitty amendments, like I mentioned earlier, and there is a difference of opinion between who sits in the Chair and the people out here trying to get it, you may not get the ruling