

January 9, 1996

section of the permanent rules. Senator Chambers asked for a roll call vote. Mr. Clerk, please proceed.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 341-42 of the Legislative Journal.) 6 ayes, 30 nays on the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chambers amendment fails. I raise the call. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Chambers would move to amend. (Chambers amendment appears on page 342 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to open on his amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, probably it would have been difficult to envision a number of amendments that could be offered to this proposal that Senator Wickersham is presenting, but it is possible to do so. What this amendment that I'm offering would do is to strike the third paragraph, which you will find on the sheet given to us. That third paragraph deals with how a bill, to be amended, will be handled on Select File. What Senator Wickersham is doing is attaching to the rules, in the rule that we currently have, dealing with how we handle bills on Select File, his proposal that would say, as the main part of his amendment says, if a bill has been killed and there's an attempt to add substantially the same material to a bill on Select File by way of a vote...an amendment, then that would require 30 votes. I would like to ask Senator Wickersham a question. Senator Wickersham, in returning a bill to Select File, that presupposes that the bill itself is on Final Reading when the attempt to return it is made, do you agree?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And have you seen instances when bills on Final Reading were returned to Select File because somebody would indicate that it's necessary that something or other be added to this bill, so that whatever the something or other that's added will have a chance to be passed during that session