

January 9, 1996

a lawyer, but Senator Vrtiska believes in things other than algebra, which I don't. I believe in algebra. So he stands up and talks about the committee system. And this amendment that Senator Wickersham presented to us does not deal with the committee system alone, Senator Vrtiska. And many bills are killed on the floor, or a number of bills. So, if we are looking for accuracy in the terminology we use to draft amendments, we should say what we mean, and we should mean what we say. But when words are not contained in an amendment and those words, as if there, are continually referred to as being the main thrust of the amendment, then somebody was very careless in drafting the amendment, or somebody is being very cunning and almost duplicitous in the way the amendment is being presented to us. I do want to gut what Senator Wickersham is attempting to do. I don't believe it should take 30 votes. And I am outraged, I am miffed, I am even disturbed that Senator Wickersham would dare to say that this amendment has nothing to do with me. But Senator Wickersham...

**SPEAKER WITHEM:** One minute.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** ...knows how to get ones goat. I intend to fight this amendment, amendments of this kind which would require us to behave in a way that I think is inefficient and not designed to achieve the goal that the rule professes to aim to achieve. Now, Senator Wickersham's amendment is one that will require several steps if you get consistent rulings from the Chair. But the main problem is that it allows the Chair arbitrarily, without any guidelines whatsoever, to issue a ruling. And I know we can challenge the Chair, but again these things take time and I don't think that is going to be acceptable to people when we get into what is called crunch time.

**SPEAKER WITHEM:** Time. Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on, you may close on your amendment.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, we can look at what Senator Wickersham is talking about as skinning a cat. Is Senator Wickersham interested in the ultimate end of the cat being skinned or is he more concerned with the manner in which you achieve that skinning? If he is concerned about whether or not the cat is skinned, he cannot stop that from happening by his amendment because, as you know,