

January 9, 1996

So I think you ought to adopt my amendment which, as Senator Wickersham correctly said, would gut his. Then we would just defeat his and remain where we are now, which I think is the wise position for us to occupy.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you. Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I just wanted to briefly recap where we are on the Wickersham proposal. This is proposed rules change number four that was in your packet and I think is found on pages 264 and 265 of the Journal. And what it would do, just to remind the body, is require a three-fifth vote of the elected members for any...to adopt any amendment on the floor, which is substantially the same as any bill which is indefinitely postponed. And I think for the most part we will probably be dealing with bills that were killed in committee as the debate has demonstrated. What the...the purpose behind the Wickersham amendment is to give some added weight to the committee process to action taken by a committee, to in effect require more than a majority of the elected membership to adopt an amendment, if a committee has acted and killed the bill. What the Chambers amendment would do is strike the "substantially" the same requirement and say that amendments which are the same as any bills indefinitely postponed shall require a three-fifths vote of the elected members. And as Senator Chambers and Senator Wickersham had both pointed out, the effect of the Chambers amendment would be to defeat the Wickersham amendment, in essence, because a strict interpretation of any interpretation really of "the same" would mean any...any amendment that was different in any fashion, such as an effective date or anything would not be the same as the bill that was indefinitely postponed, and thus would require a majority vote as is now the case. So, just to alert the membership, adopting the Chambers amendment is the same as voting down the Wickersham proposal, as both Senator Chambers and Senator Wickersham have pointed out. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Mr. President. I'll be brief. I think everybody knows what the rule is...the proposed change is about and the effect of the Chambers amendment. I do want to address something that Senator Chambers keeps bringing up, and I don't really know whether it's worth time bothering you about