

the whole question, I think, in terms of what should be considered.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Schrock.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Madam Chairman, members of the Legislature, I'd just like to draw your attention to something that came to me and I'd like to have Senator Wehrbein listen to this. A lot of times 80 acres, if you go to your...I'm going to say ASCS office but they've changed it to Farm Service Office, they'll get out your map of 80 acres and it only measures 76 acres. What are we going to do then? I'll address this question to Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: That's a good question. I suppose technically it will be have to 76, even though it's supposedly an 80 acres or part of a section or half a quarter, whatever, Ed.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, maybe Senator Beutler can answer this question, but when you sell a tract of land you usually sell it 80 acres more or less. How confusing would that be if you put the language "more or less" in there?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Well...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I would say it's on the more side of confusing, Senator.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Well, it really matters very little to me whether you have 80 acres or 80 acres more or less, but there's a lot of eighties that don't measure a full 30 acres and I just wanted to draw that to your attention and maybe that's something we should look at a little closer as this bill proceeds.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES: (Microphone not activated.) ...members of the body, I guess I've got a little bit of concern about this when you start to cutting this down with the high-powered rifles that's close to towns that you might be at the edge of 80 acres and might be shooting over some residence. Do you foresee that there'd be 80 acres awful close to areas, Roger Wehrbein?