

January 8, 1996

don't trust people in the Chair like the rest of the members of the body do. Most of the members do not have occasion to take issue with the Chair or even another member of the Legislature or the Legislature as a whole in the way that I do. So I'm fighting to give myself as level a playing field as possible and there are those offering rules to take that away as much as possible. And if I lose by way of a majority of you voting in favor of oppression, suppression, and unfairness, then I just have to function under unfair rules which I will do as I've done in the past. But as I've begun to say this session, when you put inappropriate food stuffs in the stomach and you mix them in the inappropriate combination, then the stomach is punished by what you put in it. So you all punish me today, I'll punish the Legislature the rest of the session as I see fit under the rules which you have adopted. Because what I can do is raise a point of order on any amendment that's offered and say I believe it is substantially the same. And because the Chair wants it, the Chair says, well, no it isn't, so I move to overrule the Chair. And we argue and argue. And you all agree with the Chair that it is substan...it is not substantially the same, so it only takes 25 votes and I lose. So I want to reconsider. And we'll battle that. Then when the amendment is offered, because I think I've been unfairly treated, then I'll get even on the amendment. And the only reason I'm laying these things out, I'm saying this is the year of politics. I'm saying there's going to be much posturing. There will be many efforts to do things that traditionally or routinely are not done during ordinary sessions. And I don't want anybody to be caught off guard by anything that I do because I get more enjoyment out of doing it when you know what I'm going to do anyway and you can't stop me. That's when the enjoyment comes for me, not tricking you, not aching you out, but telling you this is what I'm going to do and you cannot stop me without perverting your whole system when I'm operating under the rules in accord with the rules. My motion is to strike the word "substantially" from this proposal that Senator Wickersham has put before us. And if you would adopt such a change, which I think is unwise in any form, in any form, because even if the bill, even if the amendment offered is the same as the bill that was killed, it should take only 25 votes to add it as an amendment. That's my view. So even if you adopt my amendment, I think it's an unwise thing for us to do. But this takes out of the hands of the Chair, it does away with that very great likelihood of acrimonious argument, take all that away by making it a categorical statement. That the only